UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,352	03/02/2005	Oliver Woell	4738 0001US	8970
2,0,,	7590 03/06/200 LENDORF, STEIMLE	EXAMINER		
POSTFACH 10 37 62			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
D-70032 STUT GERMANY	TIGART,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
02.4			1772	
			-	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOR	NTHS	03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/526,352	WOELL, OLIVER			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Thomas	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuan/ 2007				
		action is non-final.				
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	A parte Quay10, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	0 0.0. 210.			
	Claim(s) 30-32,38-48,54,58 and 59 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) 30-32,38-48,54,58 and 59 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•	•				
Attachmen	(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/526,352

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 32 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is no antecedent basis for the term "said chassis part". If the dependency of claim 32 was changed to depend on claim 31, this objection could be overcome. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The scope of this claim is not clear. How can the covering device can have a slit defining a strip (as set forth in claim 59) when the strip is partially separated from the covering in order to be clamped in the vehicle chassis (as set forth in claim 31). Claim 31 attempts to redefine the structure of the covering set forth in claim 59, upon which it depends, resulting in a scope that ambiguous.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 30-32, 38-42, 44, 47, 48, 58 and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bingham ('320). Bingham discloses a tarpaulin structured and

Art Unit: 1772

dimensioned for placement onto windows of a vehicle, said tarpaulin having at least one slit 12 extending substantially parallel to an edge of said tarpaulin; see the Figures. The phrase "structured and dimensioned for separation ..." does not add any structural feature to the claimed device or any feature that would distinguish the claimed device over that of the reference. Furthermore, the device shown in Bingham may be separated by use of scissors as may applicant's device; see page 2, lines 14-15, of the instant specification. Concerning claim 38, the phrase "intended separation locations" does not define a specific structure feature of the device. Regarding claims 39-42, the slot and strap with Velcro on its ends as disclosed in Bingham meets these limitations. Claim 44 is directed to a process limitation that does not further structurally define the device, and claim 58 is anticipated by the cover shown in Figure 3 of the reference. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. Applicant argues that the transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" disclaims additional elements which would be pertinent to the structure and function of the device. However, this is not the case. The transitional phrase "consisting essentially of" limits the scope of a claim to the claimed features and those features that do not materially affect the basic characteristics of the claimed invention. Clearly the addition of attachment means, such as a strap, on the instantly claimed covering device, as is taught in Bingham, would not materially affect the claimed invention. This is particularly evidenced by the fact that applicant has dependent claims, such as claim 39, which include attachment means on the covering device.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,352

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingham in view of Dang for the reasons of record set forth in the previous office action.
- 7. Claims 45, 46 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bingham for the reasons of record set forth in the previous office action.

 Concerning claim 54, providing particular colors to the device in the reference is considered to be within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide a desired decorative effect.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/526,352

Art Unit: 1772

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

alexander Shawa

Page 5